

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

David Duenes,	Civ. Action #:
Plaintiff,	<u>Complaint</u>
-v-	Date Filed:
Towne Park Services, LLC,	Jury Trial Demanded
Defendant.	

Plaintiff David Duenes (“Plaintiff” or “Duenes”), by Abdul Hassan Law Group, PLLC, his attorney, complaining of Defendant Towne Park Services, LLC (“Defendant”), respectfully alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff alleges, that he was employed by Defendant and pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 216 (b), he is: (i) entitled to unpaid overtime wages from Defendant for working more than forty hours in a week and not being paid an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times his regular rate for each and all such hours over forty in a week; and (ii) entitled to maximum liquidated damages and attorneys’ fees pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. including 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
2. Plaintiff further complains pursuant to New York Labor Law, that he is: (i) entitled to unpaid overtime wages from Defendant for working more than forty hours in a week and not being paid an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times his regular rate for such hours over forty in a week; (ii) entitled to an extra hour of pay for each day he worked a spread of hours of more than ten (10) hours pursuant to New York Minimum Wage Act and the regulations thereunder including 12 NYCRR 142-2.4, 12 NYCRR 146-1.6.; and (iii) entitled to maximum liquidated damages and attorneys’ fees, pursuant to the New York Minimum Wage Act ("NYMWA"), N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 650 et seq., including NYLL § 663, and the regulations thereunder.
3. Plaintiff is also entitled to recover his unpaid wages, including his unlawful wage deductions under Article 6 of the New York Labor Law including Section 191, 193, and maximum compensation for not receiving notices and statements required by NYLL 195, under Article

6 of the New York Labor Law and is also entitled to maximum liquidated – including liquidated damages on all wages paid later than weekly, damages, interest, and attorneys’ fees pursuant to Section 198 of the New York Labor Law.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337 and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff’s state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. In addition, the Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff’s claim under the Fair Labor Standards Act pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b).
5. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b).
6. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 2202.

THE PARTIES

7. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff David Duenes (“Plaintiff” or “Duenes”) was an adult, over eighteen years old, who resides in Kings County in the State of New York.
8. Upon information and belief and all times relevant herein, Defendant Towne Park Services, LLC (“TPS”) was a for-profit corporation.
9. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Defendant controlled the employment of Plaintiff and was responsible for hiring, firing, scheduling, controlling, managing, supervising, and record-keeping as to Plaintiff’s employment, among other employment functions, and performed such functions as to Plaintiff.
10. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Defendant TPS owned/operated a place of business in Queens County, New York, at 183-15 Horace Harding Expy Fresh Meadows, NY 11365, where Plaintiff was employed.

11. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

12. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendant was engaged in the business of providing hospitality and parking solutions to its clients.

13. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendant owned/operated several locations and employed over 500 employees.

14. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant from in or around August 2021 to on or about October 10, 2022.

15. At all times relevant herein, Defendant employed Plaintiff as a manual worker performing a variety of manual and physical duties such valet parking services and sorting, lifting, packing and restocking towels, toiletries, etc. in dozens of rooms at the Courtyard by Marriot Hotel throughout his workday, for Defendant.

16. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was an hourly employee of Defendant and his last hourly rate of pay was about \$16.00 an hour.

17. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff worked 47.5-57 or more hours a week; 5-6 days a week and was not paid for each and all hours worked in a week including his overtime hours (hours over 40 hours in a week) - with the exception of about 5-6 weeks.

18. Although Plaintiff was paid for some overtime hours, Defendant had a policy and practice of improperly deducting about 30 minutes or more each day from Plaintiff's work time. However, due to the demands of his job, Plaintiff did not receive a "bona fide" meal break within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL. 29 C.F.R. § 785.18; 29 C.F.R. § 785.19. As a result, Plaintiff is owed overtime wages for about 2.5-3 overtime hours worked each week – as further reflected in the records, during his employment with Defendant - with the exception of about 5-6 weeks, which he seeks to recover in this action and for which he was not paid any wages.

19. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff worked a spread of hours of more than 10 hours a day at

least 1 day each week, during his employment with Defendant but was not paid an additional hour of pay for each such day in violation of 12 NYCRR 146-1.6.

20. A more precise statement of the hours and wages may be made when Plaintiff Duenes obtains the wage and time records Defendant was required to keep under the FLSA and NYLL. *Accurate* copies of Plaintiff's wage and time records that Defendant was required to keep pursuant to 29 USC § 211, 29 CFR § 516 and NYLL § 195, 12 NYCRR §142, are incorporated herein by reference.
21. At all times relevant herein and for the time Plaintiff was employed by Defendant, Defendant failed and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times his regular rate of pay for each and all hours worked in excess of forty hours each week during his employment with Defendant.
22. At all times relevant herein, Defendant paid Plaintiff on a later than weekly basis in violation of NYLL 191 (1)(a)(i). See i.e. *Vega v. CM & Assocs. Constr. Mgmt., LLC*, 175 A.D.3d 1144, 107 N.Y.S.3d 286 (1st Dep't, 2019).
23. At all times relevant herein, Defendant did not provide Plaintiff with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1). See i.e. *Alonso Vazquez v. Azoulay*, 834 F. App'x 653, 654 (2d Cir. 2021)("For example, the purported hiring notice Azoulay cites lacks required information about the employer (such as contact information and the corporate or business name), as well as required information about tip credit or tip policy. Similarly, Azoulay relies on purported wage notices that lack required information regarding all hours worked and wages paid. See Appellee Br. at 16-21.").
24. At all times relevant herein, Defendant did not provide Plaintiff with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3) - the statements provided to Plaintiff did not reflect all hours worked, nor all wages earned, among other deficiencies. See i.e. *Alonso Vazquez v. Azoulay*, 834 F. App'x 653, 654 (2d Cir. 2021)("For example, the purported hiring notice Azoulay cites lacks required information about the employer (such as contact information and the corporate or business name), as well as required information about tip credit or tip policy. Similarly, Azoulay relies on purported wage notices that lack required information regarding

all hours worked and wages paid. See Appellee Br. at 16-21.”).

25. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Defendant had annual revenues and/or expenditures in excess of \$500,000.
26. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Defendant conducted business with vendors/entities/persons within the State of New York.
27. Upon information and belief, and at all times relevant herein, Defendant and Plaintiff utilized the instrumentalities of interstate commerce such as the United States mail, internet electronic mail, messaging, payroll application, timekeeping, websites and telephone/cellphone systems as a regular/daily part of the operation of Defendant and Plaintiff’s employment with Defendant.
28. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Defendant utilized the goods, materials, and services through interstate commerce.
29. Defendant as a regular part of its business, make payment of taxes and other monies to agencies and entities outside the State of New York.
30. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendant conducted business with mortgage companies, banks, insurance companies, and internet/email service providers within and outside the State of New York.
31. Defendant as a regular part of its business, engaged in credit card transactions involving banks and other institutions outside the state of New York.
32. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendant failed to display federal and state minimum wage/overtime posters as required by the FLSA and NYLL (29 CFR 516.4; 12 NYCRR 142-2.8), and Defendant failed to notify Plaintiff of his federal and state minimum wage and overtime rights and failed to inform Plaintiff that he could seek enforcement of such rights through the government enforcement agencies.
33. “Plaintiff” as used in this complaint refers to the named Plaintiff.

34. The “present” or the “present time” as used in this complaint refers to the date this complaint was signed.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT - 29 U.S.C 201 et Seq. (Overtime wages)

35. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 34 above as if set forth fully and at length herein.

36. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant within the meaning of the FLSA.

37. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was engaged in commerce and/or in the production of goods for commerce and/or Defendant, constituted an enterprise(s) engaged in commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 206, 207.

38. At all times relevant herein, Defendant transacted commerce and business in excess of \$500,000.00 annually or had revenues in excess of \$500,000.00 annually.

39. At all times relevant herein, Defendant failed and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation at rates not less than 1.5 times his regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a week, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 207.

Relief Demanded

40. Due to Defendant’s FLSA overtime wage violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant, his unpaid overtime wage compensation, maximum liquidated damages, attorneys’ fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

NYLL 650 et Seq. & 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2, 146 (Overtime Wages + SOH)

41. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 39 above as if set forth fully and at length herein.

42. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant within the meaning

of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651 and the regulations and wage orders thereunder.

43. At all times relevant herein, Defendant failed and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation at rates not less than 1.5 times his regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a work-week, in violation of the New York Minimum Wage Act and its implementing regulations and wage orders. N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 650 et seq., including 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2.

44. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff worked a spread of hours of more than ten (10) hours a day during 1 day each week during his employment with Defendant as set forth above, but Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff an additional hour of pay for each such day in violation of the New York Minimum Wage Act, New York Labor law § 650 et seq., including 12 NYCRR 146-1.6.

Relief Demanded

45. Due to Defendant's New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant, his unpaid overtime wage, spread of hour wages, prejudgment interest, maximum liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to N.Y. Labor L. § 663(1).

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

NYLL § 190, 191, 193, 195 and 198 – Unpaid and Withheld Wages

46. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 45 above with the same force and effect as if fully set forth at length herein.
47. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant within the meaning of the New York Labor law, §§ 190 et seq., including §§ 191, 193, 195 and 198 and the applicable regulations thereunder.
48. At all relevant times herein, Defendant violated and willfully violated Plaintiff's rights under NY Labor Law § 190 et seq. including NY Labor Law §§ 191, 193 and 198 by failing to pay Plaintiff all his wages, including his unpaid overtime wages, spread of hour wages, as required under NY Labor Law § 190 et seq.

49. At all times relevant herein, Defendant failed and willfully failed to provide Plaintiff with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1) – Plaintiff is therefore entitled to and seeks to recover in this action the maximum recovery for this violation, plus attorneys’ fees and costs pursuant to NYLL 198 including NYLL 198(1-b), as well as an injunction directing Defendant to comply with NYLL 195(1).
50. At all times relevant herein, Defendant failed and willfully failed to provide Plaintiff with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3) – Plaintiff is therefore entitled to and seeks to recover in this action the maximum recovery for this violation, plus attorneys’ fees and costs pursuant to NYLL 198 including NYLL 198(1-d), as well as an injunction directing Defendant to comply with NYLL 195(1).

Relief Demanded

51. Due to Defendant’s New York Labor Law Article 6 violations including violation of sections 191, 193 and 198, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant, his entire unpaid wages, including his unpaid non-overtime and overtime wages, spread of hour wages, maximum liquidated damages – including maximum liquidated damages on all wages paid later than weekly, prejudgment interest, maximum recovery for violations of NYLL §195(1) and NYLL § 195(3), reasonable attorneys’ fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to N.Y. Labor Law § 190 et seq. including § 198.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

52. Declare Defendant to be in violation of the Plaintiff’s rights under the FLSA and New York Labor Law – 12 NYCRR § 142 and Article 6 of the NYLL – NYLL § 190 et Seq.
53. As to his **First Cause of Action**, award Plaintiff his unpaid overtime wage compensation, maximum liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys’ fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
54. As to his **Second Cause of Action**, award Plaintiff his unpaid overtime wages, spread of hour wages, due under the New York Minimum Wage Act and the Regulations thereunder

including 12 NYCRR §§ 142-2.2, 146, together with maximum liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, costs and attorney's fees pursuant to NYLL § 663;

55. As to his **Third Cause of Action**, award Plaintiff any and all outstanding wages, including his entire unpaid wages, including his unpaid overtime wages, non-overtime wages, spread of hour wages, due under law, maximum liquidated damages – including maximum liquidated damages on all wages paid later than weekly, prejudgment interest, maximum recovery for violations of NYLL § 195(1) and NYLL § 195(3), reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to N.Y. Labor Law § 190 et seq. including § 198.
56. Award Plaintiff prejudgment interest on all monies due.
57. Award Plaintiff any relief requested or stated in the preceding paragraphs but which has not been requested in the WHEREFORE clause, in addition to the relief requested in the wherefore clause;
58. Award Plaintiff such other, further and different relief as the Court deems just and proper.

**Dated: Queens Village, New York
December 30, 2022**

Abdul Hassan Law Group, PLLC

/s/ Abdul Hassan

By: Abdul K. Hassan, Esq. (AH6510)

Counsel for plaintiff

215-28 Hillside Avenue

Queens Village, NY 11427

Tel: 718-740-1000

Fax: 718-740-2000

Email: abdul@abdulhassan.com